Abstract S480:

Phase 1 Trial of CUDC-907, a Novel, Oral Dual Inhibitor of HDAC & PI3K: Updated Assessment of Patients with Relapsed or Refractory DLBCL, Including Double Expressor Lymphoma

Anas Younes¹, Jesus G. Berdeja², Manish R. Patel³, Kevin R. Kelly⁴, Ian W. Flinn², John F Gerecitano¹, Sattva S. Neelapu⁵, Amanda R. Copeland¹, Judy Wang³, Lucy Gong⁶, Myles Clancy⁶, Anna Ma⁶, Kaiming Sun⁶, Jing Wang⁶, Jaye Viner⁶, and Yasuhiro Oki⁵

¹Department of Medicine, Lymphoma Service, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York City, NY
 ²Sarah Cannon Research Institute, Nashville, TN
 ³Florida Cancer Specialists/Sarah Cannon Research Institute, Sarasota, FL
 ⁴University of Southern California Keck School of Medicine, Los Angeles, CA
 ⁵Department of Lymphoma/Myeloma, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX
 ⁶Curis, Inc, Lexington, MA



Presenter Disclosures

Research Support

Curis Inc, Janssen, Novartis, Seattle Genetics

Honoraria

 Abbvie, Bayer, BMS, Celgene, Gilead, Incyte, Janssen, Novartis, Seattle Genetics, Takeda

CUDC-907: Chemically Designed Oral, Dual Inhibitor of HDAC and PI3K

- First in class, rationally designed, dual inhibitor of HDAC (class I and II) and PI3K (class I α, β, and δ)
- Potential to overcome drug resistance by suppressing critical oncogenic networks





Enzyme			HDAC				Р	I3K	
Isotype	1	2	3	6	10	Alpha	Delta	Beta	Gamma
IC50 (nM)	1.7	5	1.8	27	2.8	19	39	54	311

CUDC-907

Proposed Mechanism of CUDC-907



CUDC-907 Decreases MYC Protein Levels in DLBCL Cell Lines



0.7

In Vivo Efficacy in MYC+ Xenograft Models



CUDC-907-101 Phase 1 Trial Design

Objectives

- Primary: MTD, RP2D
- Secondary: Safety and tolerability, pharmacokinetics, biomarkers, anti-cancer activity

<u>Study Population</u>

- Histopathologically confirmed relapsed or refractory lymphoma or multiple myeloma after ≥2 prior regimens
- Measurable or evaluable disease
- Age \geq 18 years
- □ ECOG performance status ≤2

Criteria for DLT & Response-evaluable Population

<u>Dose Limiting Toxicity</u>

- Non-hematological Grade 3 AE, other than Grade 3 nausea or vomiting treated with sub-optimal antiemetic
- □ AE resulting in a dose delay ≥7 days
- Grade 4 neutropenia ≥7 days, or ≥Grade 3 with fever
 >101.3°F (38.5°C) or infection
- Grade 4 thrombocytopenia ≥7 days, or ≥Grade 3 with significant bleeding

<u>Response-evaluable Population</u>

Received at least 1 dose of study drug and underwent
 1 post-baseline disease assessment

Dosing

Oral, once daily dosing (21-day cycles)

- Dose Escalation Phase
 - QD: 30 or 60 mg
 - BIW or TIW: 60, 90, 120 or 150 mg
 - 5/2 (5 days on, 2 days off): 60 mg

Dose Expansion Phase (ongoing)

- 60 mg 5/2 monotherapy in patients with RR DLBCL, HL, or MM
- 60 mg 5/2 + rituximab 375 mg/m² (R-907) combination patients with RR DLBCL



Demographics & Study Disposition: Safety Population

Characteristics & Disposition	Overall (N=75)
Male, n (%)	50 (67)
Age, median years (range)	61 (20-85)
Histology, n (%)	
Diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL)	31 (41)
Transformed follicular lymphoma (t-FL/DLBCL)*	11 (15)
Hodgkin Lymphoma (HL)	16 (21)
Multiple Myeloma (MM)	9 (12)
Other lymphoma**	19 (25)
Prior Therapies	
No. prior regimens [median (range)]	4 (1-9)
HDAC inhibitor, n (%)	9 (12)
PI3K inhibitor, n (%)	3 (4)

*High grade or composite low-high grade disease per local pathology report

**Includes T-cell (n=4), lymphoplasmacytic (n=3), small lymphocytic (n=3), mantle cell (n=3), follicular (n=2), marginal zone (n=2), Burkitt (n=1), and gray-zone (n=1)

Demographics & Study Disposition: DLBCL Population

Characteristics & Disposition	<i>de novo</i> DLBCL (n=20)	t-FL/DLBCL* (n=11)	Overall (N=31)
Male, n (%)	16 (80)	7 (64)	23 (74)
Age, median years (range)	58 (20-77)	71 (49-85)	64 (20-85)
Primary refractory**, n (%)	3 (15)	2 (18)	5 (16)
Prior Therapies			
No. prior regimens [median (range)]	3 (2-9)	3 (2-6)	3 (2-9)
Stem cell transplant, n (%)	7 (35)	3 (27)	10 (32)
Radiation therapy, n (%)	8 (40)	1 (9)	9 (29)
HDAC inhibitor, n (%)	1 (5)	-	1 (3)
Treatment received			
CUDC-907 monotherapy, n (%)	14 (70)	11 (100)	25 (81)
CUDC-907 + rituximab, n (%)	6 (30)	-	6 (19)
Response Evaluable***, n (%)	13 (65)	8 (73)	21 (68)

N=21 patients were evaluable for disease response. N=10 patients discontinued treatment prior to completing their first postbaseline response assessment

*High grade or composite low-high grade disease per local pathology report

**Patient never experienced complete or partial response on any prior therapy

***Patient completed at least one post-baseline disease assessment

DLTs & RP2D Selection

- 4 DLTs at the highest doses tested for QD and intermittent (BIW, TIW) dosing groups
 - 60 mg QD: G3 diarrhea, G4 hyperglycemia*
 - 150 mg BIW: G3 hyperglycemia**
 - 150 mg TIW: G3 diarrhea*
- 60 mg 5/2 selected as RP2D
 - Tolerability comparable to that of other schedules
 - Responses tend to occur earlier than with other schedules
 - No DLTs

Treatment-Related Adverse Event Frequency: All Dose Levels *vs* RP2D (60 mg 5/2)



Best Response in RR DLBCL: CUDC-907 monotherapy

Indication	N		Median				
		CR	PR	SD	PD	NE*	Treatment Duration, months (range)**
Overall DLBCL	25	2 (8)	6 (24)	4 (16)	6 (24)	7 (28)	1.4 (<1-35)
MYC+	8	2 (25)	2 (25)	1 (13)	-	3 (38)	4.2 (<1-20)
MYC-	4	-	1 (25)	-	2(50)	1 (25)	0.5 (<1-6)
MYC?	13	-	3 (23)	3 (23)	4 (31)	3 (23)	1.4 (<1-35)

*N=18 patients were evaluable for disease response. N=7 patients discontinued treatment prior to completing their first postbaseline response assessment due to withdrawal of consent (n=4), unrelated AEs (n=2), and too early to evaluate (n=1)

**5 patients ongoing treatment as of 15 March 2016

+ = per local or central pathology report including ≥40% expression per IHC, translocation per FISH, or copy number (3-4) gain by FISH

- = local or central pathology report <40% expression per IHC and no translocation or copy number gain by FISH

? = local or central pathology report unavailable for IHC and/or FISH results

RR DLBCL Response by Treatment Duration (n=31)



N=21 patients were evaluable for disease response. N=10 patients discontinued treatment prior to completing their first post-baseline assessment R-907 = patients receiving CUDC-907 60 mg 5/2 + rituximab 375 mg/m2

*Achieved CR in C2, proceeded to ASCT (CR ongoing)

 \Rightarrow = treatment ongoing (n=6)

Responses and SD in RR DLBCL: 6 MYC+ (3CR, 2PR, 1SD) and 4 DE (1CR, 2PR, 1SD)



Note: 21 of 31 patients were evaluable for disease response.

10 of 31 patients discontinued treatment prior to completing their first post-baseline response assessment.

Conclusions

- Orally administered CUDC-907 is reasonably tolerated with self-limiting AEs that most commonly consist of G1-2 diarrhea, fatigue, nausea, and thrombocytopenia
- Objective responses in patients with RR DLBCL on all dosing schedules with CUDC-907 monotherapy (including those with MYC+ and DE disease)
 - ITT: 8/25 patients (32%); Response-evaluable: 8/18 patients (44%)
 - MYC+ ITT: 4/8 patients (50%); Response-evaluable: 4/5 patients (80%)
- Ongoing Phase 1 expansion testing CUDC-907 at RP2D of 60 mg 5/2
 +/- rituximab with extensive biomarker analysis (NCT01742988)
- Phase 2 study will further evaluate CUDC-907 +/- rituximab in patients with RR DLBCL exhibiting *MYC* gene translocation or copy number gain, or MYC protein overexpression (NCT02674750)

Acknowledgments

We express our deepest gratitude to all the patients and clinical sites participating on this trial

This trial is sponsored by Curis, Inc. with financial support from the Leukemia & Lymphoma Society

