Objective Responses Achieved in Patients with MYC-Altered Relapsed/Refractory Diffuse Large B-Cell Lymphoma **Treated with the Dual PI3K and HDAC Inhibitor CUDC-907**

#1555

ASH Dec 9, 2017

DJ Landsburg¹, R Ramchandren², Y Oki³, JM Pagel⁴, PJ Lugtenburg⁵, RB Gharavi⁶, A Ma⁶, A Hafeez⁶, SK Barta⁷ ¹ Abramson Cancer Center, Philadelphia, PA; ² 2Karmanos Cancer Institute, Wayne State University, Detroit, MI; ³ Department of Lymphoma/Myeloma, Division of Cancer Medicine, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX; ⁴Swedish Cancer Institute, Department of Hematology, Rotterdam, Netherlands on behalf of the Lunenburg Lymphoma Phase I/II Consortium – HOVON /LLPC; ⁶Curis, Lexington, MA; ⁷Fox Chase Cancer Center, Philadelphia, PA

Introduction

- The prognosis for patients with relapsed and/or refractory (RR) MYC-alt lymphoma (DLBCL) is dismal as they are often ineligible for or progress follo transplantation and respond poorly to subsequent therapies (Blood. 2012) and Haematologica. 2013 Oct;98(10):1554-62; J Clin Oncol. 2017 Jan;35(1) 15;123(22):4411-4418).
- CUDC-907, a first-in-class oral dual inhibitor of HDAC (class I and II) and PI3K (class I α , β , and δ) enzymes, has demonstrated downregulation of MYC mRNA and protein levels in MYC-altered DLBCL cell lines, as well as anti-tumor activity in multiple MYC-driven animal cancer models (Mol Cancer *Ther*. 2017 Feb;16(2):285-299).
- In a Phase 1 study, objective responses were reported in a number of patients with MYC-altered RR DLBCL treated with CUDC-907 (*Haematologica*. 2017 Nov;102(11):1923-1930). This Phase 2 study is designed to further explore the efficacy of CUDC-907 in this population of high unmet need (NCT02674750).

Phase 2 - Patients and Methods

- Up to 200 RR DLBCL patients may be enrolled to enrich for a total of 100 patients with confirmed MYC-altered disease by central immunohistochemistry (IHC) testing. Following central testing, patients are placed into one of the following 3 groups for analysis:
 - MYC-altered by IHC (≥40% MYC expression)
 - Non-MYC-altered by IHC (<40% MYC expression)
 - MYC status unknown/undetermined
- Key eligibility criteria include confirmed diagnosis of DLBCL (including high grade B-cell lymphoma with MYC and BCL2 and/or BCL6 rearrangements per 2016 WHO classifications), confirmed availability of viable biopsy tissue (fresh or archival) for central testing, ECOG score ≤1, 2-4 prior lines of therapy for DLBCL, and ineligible for/failed prior autologous stem cell transplantation.
- The primary endpoint is to assess the objective response rate (ORR) in MYC-altered patients by IHC. The response-evaluable population in this analysis was defined as any patient who received at least one dose of CUDC-907 and had a post-baseline disease assessment

Parameters	Study Population (n=68)			
Male, n (%)	40 (59)			
Caucasian, n (%)	59 (87)			
Age, median years (range)	64 (33-93)			
Histology, n (%)				
Transformed follicular lymphoma	14 (21)			
De novo DLBCL	54 (79)			
MYC status				
MYC-altered by IHC	46 (68)			
Non-MYC-altered by IHC	14 (21)			
MYC status unknown	8 (12)			
Stage, n (%)				
I-II	10 (21)			
	56 (82)			
Unknown	2 (3)			
Screening ECOG PS, n (%)				
0	28 (41)			
1	34 (50)			
2	6 (9)			
No. of previous treatments, median (range)	2 (2-4)			
Prior stem cell transplant, n (%)	11 (16)			
Autologous	10 (15)			
Allogenic	2 (3)			



Curis, Inc. 4 Maguire Road Lexington, MA 02421

Phase 2 - Safety Results

ltered diffu	se large	B-cell
owing autol	ogous ste	em cell
2 May 17;11	9(20):46	19-24.
):24-31; Ca	<i>ncer</i> . 201	7 Nov



• A summary of the most frequently reported treatment-emergent AEs (>13%) is provided below.						
Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Total	
n (%)						
22 (32)	13 (19)	12 (18)	0	0	47 (69)	
23 (34)	9 (13)	0	0	0	32 (47)	
5 (7)	5 (7)	14 (21)	3 (4)	0	27 (40)	
8 (12)	4 (6)	8 (12)	0	0	20 (29)	
14 (21)	5 (7)	0	0	0	19 (28)	
11 (16)	7 (10)	0	0	0	18 (27)	
15 (22)	2 (3)	1 (2)	0	0	18 (27)	
12 (18)	2 (3)	1 (2)	0	0	15 (22)	
1 (2)	0	9 (13)	3 (4)	0	13 (19)	
11 (16)	1 (2)	0	0	0	12 (18)	
2 (3)	3 (4)	6 (9)	0	0	11 (16)	
7 (10)	4 (6)	0	0	0	11 (16)	
	<pre>/ reported treat Grade 1 22 (32) 23 (34) 5 (7) 8 (12) 14 (21) 11 (16) 15 (22) 12 (18) 12 (18) 1 (2) 11 (16) 2 (3) 7 (10)</pre>	reported treatment-emergeGrade 1Grade 222 (32)13 (19)23 (34)9 (13)5 (7)5 (7)8 (12)4 (6)14 (21)5 (7)11 (16)7 (10)15 (22)2 (3)12 (18)2 (3)11 (16)1 (2)11 (16)1 (2)2 (3)3 (4)7 (10)4 (6)	reported treatment-emergent AEs (>Grade 1Grade 2Grade 3n (%) n (%)22 (32)13 (19)12 (18)23 (34)9 (13)05 (7)5 (7)14 (21)8 (12)4 (6)8 (12)14 (21)5 (7)011 (16)7 (10)015 (22)2 (3)1 (2)12 (18)2 (3)1 (2)12 (18)2 (3)1 (2)11 (16)1 (2)02 (3)3 (4)6 (9)7 (10)4 (6)0	reported treatment-emergent AEs (>13%) is properties of the second seco	V reported treatment-emergent AEs (>13%) is provided betomGrade 1Grade 2Grade 3Grade 4Grade 5 $(*)$ n (%)22 (32)13 (19)12 (18)0023 (34)9 (13)0005 (7)5 (7)14 (21)3 (4)08 (12)4 (6)8 (12)0014 (21)5 (7)00011 (16)7 (10)00012 (18)2 (3)1 (2)0012 (18)2 (3)1 (2)0011 (16)1 (2)0002 (3)3 (4)6 (9)007 (10)4 (6)000	

The most frequently reported Grade ≥ 3 treatment-related AEs were thrombocytopenia (23.5%), diarrhea (14.7%), neutropenia (13.2%), and hypokalemia (6.3%). In total, 31 (46%) patients reported serious adverse events (SAEs), of which 5 were considered treatment-related: diarrhea (3), hypomagnesemia, and CMV viremia.

Guillain-Barre Syndrome, and Grade 2 vomiting [related]).

The objective response rates (ORR) in each Group and overall are summarized below by the and progression-free survival (PFS) times (months) are also provided.

		C	DRR	Median	Median	
Group	Total Responses	Evaluable Population	ITT population	DOR (95% CI)	PFS (95% CI)	
MYC-altered by IHC	7 (4 CR, 3 PR)	19% (7/37)	15% (7/46)	NC (0.8, NC)	1.4 (1.1, 2.7)	
Non-MYC-altered by IHC	1 (1PR)	10% (1/10)	7% (1/14)	NC (NC, NC)	1.4 (1.1, 1.6)	
MYC status unknown	0	0% (0/5)	0% (0/8)	N/A	1.35 (0.6, 4.7)	
AII	8 (4 CR, 4 PR)	15% (8/52)	12% (8/68)	NC (0.8, NC)	1.4 (1.3, 1.4)	

CI: confidence interval; NC: not calculable/reached

• Among the 7 Group 1 responders, all were triple-expressors (overexpression of MYC, BCL2, and responders.

Response	Prior therapies	Prior	MYC	BCL2	BCL6	DOR	
	(Best response)	SCT?	status	status	status	(months)	
CR	1 D CLIOD (CD) 2 D ICC (CD) 2 D longlideride (DD)	Na	TL-, CN-			11 0	
	1. R-CHOP (CR), 2. R-ICE (SD), 3.R-Ienalidomide (PR)	NO	IHC+ (40%)	IHC+ (100%)	IHC+ (50%)	11.8+	
CR	1. R-EPOCH (PD)	NIa	TL+, CN-	TL+, CN-	TL- <i>,</i> CN-	77.	
	2. R + dexamethasone + cytarabine + cisplatin (PD)	INO	IHC+ (90%)	IHC+ (100%)	IHC+ (100%)	/./+	
CD			TL- <i>,</i> CN-	TL-^	TL- [^]	2 7*	
CK	1. R-CHOP (CR), 2.R-DICE + HDT + ASCT (CR)	Yes	IHC+ (65%)	IHC+^(100%)	IHC+^(100%)	3./*	
CD	1. R-CHOP + MTX + cytarabine (CR)		TL+, CN+	TL+ [^] , CN- [^]	TL-^, CN-^	2.0	
CK	2. R-ICE (PD)	INO	IHC+ (95%)	IHC+ (100%) [^]	IHC+ (75%)	2.8	
	1. R-CHOP + MTX + etoposide (PD)		TL+^	TL+ [^]	TL- [^]		
PR	2. R + methylprednisolone + etoposide + cytarabine + cisplatin (PD)	No	IHC+ (70%)	IHC+ [^]	IHC+ [^]	8.0+	
	1 R-CHOP (CR) 2 R-ICE (SD) 3 R-lenalidomide (SD)		TL CN-	TL CN+	TL+. CN-		
PR	4. GemOx (NE)	No	IHC+ (95%)	IHC+ (70%)	IHC+ (80%)	2.1	
				TL CN-	TL CN-		
PR	1. R-EPOCH (CR), 2.GemOx + obinotuzumab (PD)	No	IHC+ (80%)	IHC+ (70%)	IHC+ (30%)	0.8	
			TL-, CN-	TL+ [^]	TL- [^]		
PR	1. R-CHOP (CR), 2. R-ICE + HDT + ASCT (CR)	Yes	, IHC- (12%)	IHC+ (90%)	IHC- (5%)	1.1+	
*patient discontinued treatment after 7 cycles to pursue SCT; + indicates ongoing; ^denotes per local testing when central results not							

available; HDT: high dose therapy; ASCT: autologous stem-cell transplant

• Four patients (6%) discontinued treatment due to AEs; (Grade 5 worsening of lymphoma [2], Grade 5

Phase 2 - Efficacy Results

Evaluable and Intent-to-Treat (ITT) population definitions. The median duration of response (DOR)

BCL6), including both ongoing CRs. Further, 2 of the CRs (including 1 ongoing) were also double-hit patients (MYC and BCL2 rearrangements). The table below summarizes the prior therapies and MYC, BCL2, and BCL6 status by both FISH (translocation [TL] and copy number gain [CN]) and IHC for all







CUDC907 (all DLBCL): Duration of Response by MYC status



- double-hit and double-expressors.

CIRIS

Swimmer plot of MYC-altered by IHC patients best response and duration on treatment (days) is

Combined Phase 1 and 2 Analysis

• When including the 37 DLBCL (14 MYC-altered) patients from the Phase 1 study (*Haematologica*. 2017 Nov;102(11):1923-1930) in a combined analysis of MYC status per study definition, the following table, DOR, PFS, and overall survival (OS) plots (all times in months) are provided below.

	ORR		Median	Median	Median	
Total	Evaluable	ITT	DOR	PFS	OS	
esponses	Population	Population	(95% CI)	(95% CI)	(95% CI)	
14	29%	23%	13.6	1.4	7	
8 CR, 6 PR)	(14/48)	(14/60)	(2.1 <i>,</i> NC)	(1.2, 2.1)	(3.0 <i>,</i> NC)	
3	18%	14%	8.8	1.4	6.3	
. CR <i>,</i> 2PR)	(3/17)	(3/22)	(3.3 <i>,</i> 14.3)	(1.3, 2.7)	(3.3 <i>,</i> NC)	
2	13%	9%	10.8	1.3	5.7	
(2 PR)	(2/16)	(2/23)	(1.4, 20.2)	(1.0, 2.3)	(3.4, 14.4)	
19	24%	18%	13.6	1.4	6.3	
CR, 10 PR)	(19/81)	(19/105)	(1.4 <i>,</i> 20.2)	(1.3, 1.5)	(3.9 <i>,</i> 14.2)	

CUDC907 (all DLBCL): PFS by MYC status



• CUDC-907 treatment has demonstrated durable clinical activity in primarily MYC-altered patients, including

• The biological rationale, tolerable safety profile, and evidence of lasting anti-tumor activity support the continued development of CUDC-907 in this population of high unmet need.